

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vrignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/046,569	01/10/2002	Paul R. Goudy JR.	GUDYP102USD	1779
7590 09/15/2004			EXAMINER	
Warren A. Sklar			MAYEKAR, KISHOR	
Renner, Otto, Boisselle & Sklar, LLP			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1621 Euclid Avenue			1753	
Cleveland, OH 44115-2191			DATE MAILED: 09/15/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/046,569	GOUDY, PAUL R.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kishor Mayekar	1753				
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address 🖊				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAL Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical if the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reption. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>25 June</u> 2004.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ∑	This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-103</u> is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1,26-28,47-61,</u> 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) <u>2-25, 29-46, 62-79, 95, 96 and</u>	80-94 and 97 is/are withdrawn fro					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection		` '				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	,	, ,				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doct 2. Certified copies of the priority doct 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in Ap e priority documents have been r Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		mmary (PTO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	. ,	/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Page 2

- 1. Applicant's election of invention of Group II, claims 2-25, 29-46, 62-79, 95, 96 and 98-103 in the reply filed on 25 June 2004 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 2. However, further restriction on elected Group II is needed.
- 3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 2-6, drawn to an apparatus for generating ozone, classified in class 422, subclass 186.07.
 - II. Claims 7-25, drawn to an electrical discharge device to ionize fluid, classified in class 422, subclass 186.04.
 - IIC. Claims 29-34, drawn to a discharge system, classified in class 422, subclass 186.04.

Art Unit: 1753

IID. Claims 35-46 and 62-79, drawn to an electrical discharge system, classified in class 422, subclass 186.04.

IIE. Claims 95-96, drawn to a device for discharging electrons into a flowing fluid, classified in class 422, subclass 186.04.

IIF. Claims 98-103, drawn to an electrical discharge device, classified in class 422, subclass 186.04.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

4. Inventions of Groups IIA and IIB-F are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different effects: invention of Group IIA is directed to a device for generating ozone; invention of Group IIB to a device with a charging path to supply current to discharge sites and with a spacer disk; invention of Group IIC to a device with one of the electrodes comprising a fluid; invention of Group IID to a device with a check valve; invention of Group IIE to device for discharging electrons into a flowing fluid; and invention of Group IIF to a device with an

Application/Control Number: 10/046,569

Art Unit: 1753

electrode having a number of discharge sites separated by sections of electrical conductor therebetween and the discharge sites being positionally closer to a further electrode than the sections of electrical conductor.

- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group IIA is not required for each of Groups IIB-F, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. A telephone call was made to Attorney Warren Sklar on 8 September 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kishor Mayekar whose telephone number is (571) 272-1339. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

Application/Control Number: 10/046,569

Art Unit: 1753

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kishor Mayekar Primary Examiner Art Unit 1753